

# The Real Inconvenient Truth

Al Gore uses refuted or distorted science to advance a multibillion-dollar political agenda. By Michael S. Coffman, Ph.D.

The cover of the Aug. 13, 2007, issue of *Newsweek* sports a very active sun with the title “Global Warming is a Hoax.” But the cover story is not about how the man-caused global warming hysteria is a hoax. Oh, no, it is just the opposite. It is a diatribe about how those who are skeptical about man’s influence on climate are denying the truth and are undermining true science. Science that allegedly shows without a doubt that man is causing global warming. The article’s title, “The Truth About Denial,” provides the lead-in for the accusation that the skeptics are receiving millions of dollars (primarily from big oil, of course) to provide research disproving man-caused global warming. The author, Sharon Begley, accuses Exxon alone of giving \$19 million to such efforts.

Nineteen million dollars since 1990 is a lot of money—until it is compared to the whopping \$50 billion that has fed the man-caused global warming hysteria. Of course, *Newsweek* conveniently left that bit of information out of its article. The article was so distorted that Robert J. Samuelson, a contributing editor of *Newsweek*, wrote a rebuttal the following week explaining that the magazine used “discredited” allegations that were “fundamentally misleading” in a way that “undermine good journalism” in the “pursuit of self-righteous indignation.”

The conventional wisdom that man is responsible for the cataclysmic warming over the past 100 years was bought and paid for with your tax dollars and huge foundation grants. Although \$50 billion has bought big headlines and doomsday rhetoric, the actual research has shown almost no connection between earth’s CO<sub>2</sub> and its temperature. Contrary to Al Gore’s expansive litany in his video “The Inconvenient Truth” that carbon dioxide caused temperature change over the past 650,000 years, science illustrates just the opposite. Temperature change almost always precedes carbon dioxide by hundreds of years.


There is sound science to support this correlation. Paleoclimate scientist Bob Carter, who has testified before the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee, explains how much money has been spent researching and promoting climate fears. “In one of the more expensive ironies of history, the expenditure of more than \$50 billion on research into global warming since 1990 has failed to

famine, and dramatically improve the health of earth’s ecosystems if its concentration doubled. If CO<sub>2</sub> can be so beneficial, why are we spending tens of billions of dollars to prove it is so bad? The answer is simple. It is part of an agenda to create global governance. Contrary to the assertion that there is scientific consensus that man is causing global warming, over 17,000 scientists have signed a petition claim-

ing there is insufficient evidence to justify any legislation to limit CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. A powerful new DVD, “Global Warming or Global Governance,” interviews some of these leading scientists who provide hard evidence that Al Gore is wrong and that global warming is primarily natural, not man-caused. Produced by Sovereignty International—the organization that stopped the onerous Convention on Biological Diversity an hour before the U.S.



If you only watch one DVD on climate change, make it “Global Warming or Global Governance?”



demonstrate any human-caused climate trend, let alone a dangerous one.”

Just how bad is the science supporting man-caused global warming? Let’s just say that if this were any other branch of science for which billions of dollars were not given out like candy, it would be shunned. Very few scientists would be working on man-caused global warming because there just is not any credible scientific justification. The entire theory depends on global-warming models that cannot accurately reflect what has happened the last 50 years (without a lot of black-box manipulation), let alone predict catastrophic warming over the next 50 years. However, the siren song of big research grants and even bigger prestige has sucked many scientists down the road of politicizing their science. If they jump off the bandwagon by telling the truth, they will find themselves without grants and without jobs.

Rather than being a pollutant, CO<sub>2</sub> is an extremely beneficial gas that could increase food production by 20 to 40 percent, reduce

Senate was scheduled to vote on it—the DVD dispels all the myths about man-caused global warming and exposes the political agenda behind it. Find out more about this hot topic by going to [www.globalwarmingglobalgovernance.com](http://www.globalwarmingglobalgovernance.com) to view a trailer or calling 877-271-7639. For a \$15 donation, this DVD can be delivered to you, your local classrooms, television stations and other local media. ■

*Michael Coffman is CEO of Sovereignty International. He has a Ph.D. in ecosystems analysis and has taught in universities in ecology, ecosystems, forest management, and meteorology as well as conducted research in these areas. He led a multimillion-dollar research effort into the effects of global warming on our nation’s ecosystems in the late 1980s and 1990s before leaving that position to start his consulting firm, Environmental Perspectives Inc. and create Sovereignty International to expose the global agenda. He can be reached at <[mcoffman@roadrunner.com](mailto:mcoffman@roadrunner.com)> or 207-945-9878.*